

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/662,508 09/16/2003 | | 09/16/2003 | Toru Takayama | 12732-166001 | 1342 | | |
| 26171 | 7590 | 09/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | SON P.C. | LE, THAO X | | | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUM | | | |
| | | | | 2814 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 00/11/2004 | DATE MAILED: 00/11/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | 4 | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | | 10/662,508 | | TAKAYAMA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Thao X. Le | | 2814 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the d | cover sheet with the co | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In since of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 or period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event by within the statuto will apply and will e e, cause the applica | , however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju | uly 2006. | | | | | | | |
| 2a)[| This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | s action is no | n-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Qua | yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-4,6,13-15,17,18,21-28,31,32,36 and | <u>d 37</u> is/are pe | ending in the applicat | ion. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from cons | sideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,13-15,17,18,21-28,31,32,36 and</u> | <u>d 37</u> is/are re | jected. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election rec | quirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | epted or b) | objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note | e the attached Office | Action or form PT0 | O-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been | received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | | d in this National S | Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | , | | J. | | | | | |
| * 8 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certifie | ed copies not receive | a. | | | | | |
| Attachmen | nt(s) | | | | | | | | |
| _ | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4 | i) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | <u>, </u> | Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P | | -152\ | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | , | 6) Other: | atent Application (FTO- | - 132) | | | | |
| | ·· — | | · | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/662,508 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 31 July 2006 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 line 11 "the film containing" should read as "the mixed film containing". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-4, 6, 13-15, 17-18, 21-28, 31-32, and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0001992 to Kawase et al. in view of US PUB 2004/0187917 to Pichler and JP 03-153859 to Fukumoto et al.

Regarding claims 1-2, Kawase discloses a light-emitting apparatus having a light-emitting device in fig. 24 comprising: a substrate 400 [0232], a thin film transistor (TFT) 502 [0240], an insulating film 541 [0250] over the TFT, a first electrode 546 [0255] a second electrode 548 [0257] over the first electrode 546 over the insulating film 544 and electrically connected to the TFT, fig. 24; an electroluminescent (EL) film 547 [0256] disposed between the first electrode and the second electrode; an inorganic insulating film 550 [0259] formed over the second electrode 548; wherein the insulating film 541 comprises a first insulating film 544 and a second insulating film 541 formed on the first insulating film 544; the first insulating film 544 comprises a material selected from the group consisting of acrylic, polyamide, and polyimide [0252], and the second insulating film 545 comprises silicon [0250].

But, Kawase does not disclose a light-emitting apparatus wherein a mixed film containing fluoroplastics and metallic oxide form over the second electrode, wherein the second insulating film comprises fluoroplastics.

However, Pichler discloses a liquid crystal display in fig. 5 comprising a luminescent layer 501 [0070], [0071], and [0101] formed over the first electrode 508, a second electrode 502 formed over the luminescent layer 501, a fluoroplastics 510 and 512 [0108] formed over the first and second electrodes 508/502, an inorganic layer (silicon oxide, silicon nitride) [0108] formed on the fluoroplastics. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the inorganic layer formed on the fluoroplastics and inorganic layers teaching of Pichler with Kawase's device, because it would have and protected against exposure to water or air as taught by Pichler [0108].

With respect to a mixed film containing fluoroplastics and metallic oxide form over the second electrode, Fukumoto discloses a mixed film containing a fluoroplastics and metallic oxide, see constitution. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the mixed metal oxide and fluoroplastics teaching of Fukumoto with Kawase's device, because it would have superior scratch resistance and high water repellency as taught by Fukumoto, see abstract.

Regarding claims 3-4, 17-18, Kawase discloses a light-emitting apparatus having a light-emitting device in fig. 24 comprising: a substrate 400 [0232], a thin film transistor (TFT) 502 [0240], an insulating film 544 [0252] over the TFT, a first electrode 546 [0255] a second electrode 548 [0257] over the first electrode 546 over the insulating film 544 and electrically connected to the TFT, fig. 24; an electroluminescent (EL) film 547 [0256]

disposed between the first electrode and the second electrode; a film 549 [0259] formed over the second electrode 548; and an inorganic insulating film 550 [0259] formed over the film 549; wherein the insulating film 544 comprises a first insulating film 544 and a second insulating film 545 formed on the first insulating film; the first insulating film 544 comprises a material selected from the group consisting of acrylic, polyamide, and polyimide [0252], and the second insulating film 545 comprises silicon [0250].

But, Kawase does not disclose a light-emitting apparatus wherein a film containing fluoroplastics form over the second electrode and wherein the second insulating film is mixed film comprising fluoroplastics and metallic oxide.

However, Fukumoto discloses a mixed film containing a fluoroplastics and metallic oxide, see constitution. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the mixed metal oxide and fluoroplastics teaching of Fukumoto with Kawase's device, because it would have superior scratch resistance and high water repellency as taught by Fukumoto, see abstract.

Regarding claims 13-14, Kawase does not disclose the light emitting apparatus wherein the film containing fluoroplastics is one type of polymer selected from polytetrafluoroethylene.

However, Fukumoto discloses the film containing fluoroplastics is one type of polymer selected from polytetrafluoroethylene, see constitution. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to use the fluoroplastics teaching of Fukumoto in Kawase's device for the same reason as discussed in the above claims 1-2.

Page 6

Regarding claims 6, 15, 21-22 and 36-37, Kawase does not disclose the light emitting apparatus wherein a ratio of the metallic oxides in the mixed film monotonically increases from a portion of the mixed film distant from the first electrode to a portion of the mixed film close to the first electrode.

However, Fukumoto discloses an fluoroplastics layer and an metallic layer; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the mixed film comprising fluoroplastics and metallic oxides of Fukumoto as claim because it has been held that where the general conditions of the claims are discloses in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable range by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claims 23-28, Kawase discloses the light emitting apparatus is selected from the group consisting of digital camera, laptop computer, mobile computer, portable image reproducing device, goggle type display, video camera and cellular phone [0005].

Regarding claims 31-32, Kawase does not disclose the light emitting apparatus wherein the film containing fluoroplastics has irregularities.

However, Fukumoto discloses the light emitting apparatus wherein the film containing fluoroplastics that is substantially the material claimed; the structure recited in prior art is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/662,508

Art Unit: 2814

properties or functions are presumed to be inherent. Or where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977) and MPEP 2112.01.

Page 7

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/31/06 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao X. Le

31 Aug. 2006